1	THE LANIER LAW FIRM, P.C. W. Mark Lanier (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i>)	MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP Robert J. Smith, State Bar No. 162784
	wml@lanierlawfirm.com	Admitted Pro Hac Vice
2	Christopher D. Banys SBN: 230038	rsmith@morganlewis.com
3	cdb@lanierlawfirm.com	Melinda S. Riechert, SBN: 65504
	Daniel M. Shafer SBN: 244839	mriechert@morganlewis.com
4	dms@lanierlawfirm.com	Anne M. Brafford, SBN: 237574
5	2200 Geng Road, Suite 200	abrafford@morganlewis.com
	Palo Alto, CA 94303	2 Palo Alto Square
6	Tel: 650.322.9100	3000 El Camino Real, Suite 700
7	Fax: 650.322.9103	Palo Alto, CA 94306-2122
7	FRANKLIN D. AZAR & ASSOCIATES,	P.C. Tel: 650.843.4000 Fax: 650.843.4001
8	FRANKLIN D. AZAR (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i>) azarf@fdazar.com	Fax: 030.843.4001
9	MEGHAN MARTINEZ (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i>)	LITTLER MENDELSON
,	martinezm@fdazar.com	A Professional Corporation
10	RICHARD P. BARKLEY (Pro Hac Vice)	Richard W. Black, State Bar No. 467982
	barkleyr@fdazar.com NATHAN J. AXVIG (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i>)	Admitted Pro Hac Vice
11	axvign@fdazar.com	rblack@littler.com
12	14426 East Evans Avenue	Barbara I. Antonucci, State Bar No. 209039
12	Aurora, CO 80014	bantonucci@littler.com
13	Tel: 303.757.3300	650 California Street, 20th
	Fax: 303.757.3206	San Francisco, CA 94108-2693 Tel: 415.433.1940
14	A 44	Fax: 415.399.8490
15	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	1 a.r. +13.377.0+70
16		Attorneys for Defendant
	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
17	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
18		
19	JEFFREY JOHNSON, JENNIFER RIESE, SHAUN SIMMONS, and	Case No. 3:09-cv-003596-CRB
20	JAMES PURVIS, individually, and on	STIPULATION REGARDING ENLARGEMENT
20	behalf of others similarly situated,	OF TIME FOR FILING (1) PLAINTIFFS'
21		RESPONSE INCLUDING OBJECTIONS TO
		BILL OF COSTS, AND (2) DEFENDANT''S
22		MOTION FOR ATTORNEYS' FEES
23	HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY	
	and DOES 1-25 Inclusive,	Dept.: Courtroom 8, 19 th Floor
24		Judge: Hon. Charles R. Breyer
25	Defendants.	Tauge. Hom. Charles R. Bieyer
26		_
27		
	STIPULATIO	N RE ENLARGEMENT OF TIME

28

- 1. On August 22, 2011, Defendant filed an *Ex Parte* Application to Extend Time for Filing of Defendant's Motion for Attorneys' Fees and Bill of Costs, seeking a fourteen-day enlargement of time to file its Motion for Attorneys' Fees and Bill of Costs. Plaintiffs did not oppose this Application and it was granted by the Court.
- 2. On September 1, 2011, Defendant filed its Bill of Costs but moved for a second enlargement of time, until September 30, 2011, to file its Motion for Attorneys' Fees. This second request, for an enlargement of time for Defendant's Motion for Attorneys' Fees, was also not opposed by Plaintiffs, and it was granted on September 9, 2011, allowing Defendant to and including September 30, 2011, to file any motion for attorneys' fees.
- 3. On September 9, 2011, Plaintiffs requested an enlargement of time to respond, including file objections to Defendant's Bill of Costs, which was granted. Plaintiffs' response to Defendant's Bill of Costs is currently due on September 30, 2011.
- 4. On September 27, 2011, Plaintiffs filed their Motion to Defer Consideration of Motions for Attorneys Fees and Costs Until After Appeal is Completed.
- 5. Further, the Mediation Program of the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals has set an Assessment Conference for October 27, 2011 to discuss whether the case is appropriate for the participation in the Mediation Program. If there is movement towards or settlement of the dispute at that conference, also within the enlargement of time requested here, then considerable time and resources would be conserved.
 - 6. Defendant's Motion for Attorneys' Fees is currently due September 30, 2011.
- 7. WHEREFORE, both sides stipulate to an extension so that both Plaintiffs' response including objections to Defendant's Bill of Costs and Defendant's Motion for Attorneys' Fees are now due October 31, 2011.

1	Dated: September 30, 2011.
2	FRANKLIN D. AZAR & ASSOCIATES, P.C.
3	
4	/s/ Franklin D. Azar Franklin D. Azar
5	Counsel for Plaintiffs
6	
7	MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP
8	
9	/s/ Melinda S. Riechert Melinda S. Riechert
10	Melinda S. Riechert Melinda S. Riechert Council Defendent
11	Counsel for Defendant
12	DUDGIJANE TO STIPLIJATION, IT IS SO ODDEDIJO
13	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED TO BE Breyer
14	Judge Charles R. Breyer
15	DATED September 30, 2011 UNITED STATES DISTRICT OF DIS
16	DISTRICTO
17	
18	
19	
20	
21 22	
23 24	
24 25	
23 26	
20 27	
21	STIPULATION RE ENLARGEMENT OF TIME